PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	18	FE8	2005
WIPO			DOT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicar 4-3274	ito or agento file reference ISA	FOR FURTHER ACTION See N	lotification of Transmittal of International ninary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
1	onal application No. P 03/11848	International filing date (day/month/year) 24.10.2003	•
Internation C07D2	onal Patent Classification (IPC) o 95/18	r both national classification and IPC	
Applicant NOVAF	RTIS AG et al.		
1. Th Au	ls international preliminary ex thority and is transmitted to t	kamination report has been prepared by the applicant according to Article 36.	his International Preliminary Examining
2. Thi	is REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
	This report is also accomp been amended and are th (see Rule 70.16 and Secti	eanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the de e basis for this report and/or sheets conta on 607 of the Administrative Instructions	escription, claims and/or drawings which have uning rectifications made before this Authority
The	ese annexes consist of a tota		under the POT).
3. This	s report contains indications	relating to the following items:	
1	Basis of the opinion	ordang to the following flering.	
i II	Priority		
 111		familia	
IV		f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability
IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applic citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI ☐ Certain documents cited VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application			
VIII	☐ Certain observations	on the international application	
Date of sub	mission of the demand	Date of completio	n of this report
26.04.20	04	17.02.2005	
Vame and	mailing address of the internation	nal Authorized Officer	
———	examining authority: European Patent Office		column Patrice of
	D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236	Stix-Malaun, E	
<u> </u>	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Telephone No. +4	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11848

 Basis of the rep 	ort
--------------------------------------	-----

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	D	Description, Pages					
	1-	154	as originally filed				
	CI	aims, Numbers					
	1-8	8	as originally filed				
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
			vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
			ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a to Rule 55.2 and/or 55	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international and the				
3.	Wit	th regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
			ernational application in written form.				
			ne international application in computer readable form.				
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.				
			the information recorded in computer readable form in intention is				
	. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

sheets:

☐ the drawings,

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11848

£11.	Non-establishment of opinion t	with re	gard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1	The guestions whather the state		

	1. The questions whather the eleiment in a state of the s					
	 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: 					
		☐ the entire international ap	the entire international application,			
	Σ	☑ claims Nos. 6,8				
		because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 6 (part,Industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				claims Nos. 6 (part,Industrial applicability) relate to the re an international preliminary examination (specify):	
		see separate sheet				
	×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 6 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	☑ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 8					
2	. A or Ins	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ r amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				
V.	V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
1.	Sta	atement				
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,5,7	
	inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,5,7	
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5,7	
2.	Cite	ations and explanations				

see separate sheet

III NON-ESTABLISHMENT

Claim 6 (part) relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT.

Furthermore claim 6 lacks a category. It therefore bears an unclarity to such an extend that no meaningful examination can be carried out. Accordingly no opinion will be given on the subject matter of claim 6.

No search has been carried out for claim 8. Accordingly no examination will be carried out with respect to this claim (Rule 66.1e).

V REASONED STATEMENT

1. PRIOR ART

The documents cited in the International Search Report

D1: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 06, 22 September 2000 (2000-09-22) -& JP 2000 086603 A (YOSHITOMI PHARMACEUT IND LTD), 28 March 2000 (2000-03-28)

D2: EP-A-0 209 843 (KANEBO) 28 January 1987 (1987-01-28)

have been considered for the examination procedure.

2. NOVELTY

The subject-matter of the Claims is anticipated by D1. D1 discloses overlapping definitions and an example that falls within said overlap (see general expression, see p. 273, example 76.

Therefore, the claimed subject-matter is considered not to be novel. (Article 33(2) PCT).

3. INVENTIVE STEP

The subject-matter of the <u>novel</u> part Claims appears to fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT for the following reasons:

The problem of the present application may be seen in the provision of further piperazinyl-propenone derivatives which are useful in the treatment of diseases that involve migration and activation of monocytes and T-cells,including inflammatory diseases.

At the moment no structurally close prior art appears to be available that discloses compounds of the same use.

It is derivable from the description that tests have been carried out that proof the alleged activity.

Accordingly inventive step can be acknowledged.

In the regional phase it might become necessary to name at least one exemplified compound for which a test has been carried out in order to asses whether the generalisation of claim 1 appears to be plausible.